UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 AUG -4 PM 2: 27

	O. S. DIS OM: <u>R. Mullin</u>	DEPUTY	
CASE TITLE: Parra v. Hernandez, et al			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Supplemental Lodgment			
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
5	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
$\bar{\Box}$	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
ā	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
ō	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
$\bar{\Box}$	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
$\bar{\Box}$	7.1	Missing table of contents	
$\bar{\Box}$	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
\bar{X}		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
ā		OTHER:	
IT/I	S HEREBY OF	Date forwarded: <u>8/1/2008</u> ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE RDERED:	
4	The document	nt is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
	copy of this	ne document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a py of this order on all parties.	
	Rejected doc	ument to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	to Local Rule	CHAMBERS OF: <u>HUFF</u>	
	Dated: 8/cc: All Partie	By: (()	